# OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

65 East State Street, Suite 312 Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 466-0880

# APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 6/90

CB515

IMPORTANT: Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application" for assistance in the proper completion of this form.

City of Cincinnati

801 Plum Street

APPLICANT NAME

STREET

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>	<del></del>			
CITY/ZIP	Cincinnati	45202				
O11 1/211		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
PROJECT NAME	Dana Avenue Re	ehabilitation	٠,, ب			
PROJECT TYPE	Street Rehabil	litation	<del>- 10-</del>			
TOTAL COST	\$ 198,000					
101/32 000.			28			
DISTRICT NUMBER	2		မာ <u>မြို့</u> ယ ကို			
COUNTY	Hamilton		· <u>2</u> = 1			
			P3:09			
PROJECT LOCATION	ZIP CODE	45207 & 45208				
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
DISTRICT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION To be completed by the District Committee ONLY						
RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	OF FUNDING:	\$ 138,600.00	· i			
FUND	ING SOURCE (Ch	eck Only One):				
State Issue 2 District Allocation  X Grant Loan Loan Assistance	State	Issue 2 Small Governm Issue 2 Emergency Fun Transportation Improve	ds			
	FOR OPWC USE	ONLY				
OPWC PROJECT NUMBER:	<b>○</b> Di	WC FINDING AMOUNT	. •			

## 1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

1.1	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	Gerald E, Newfarmer City Manager 801 Plum Street Room 152, City Hall Cincinnati 45202 ( 513 ) 352 - 3241 ( ) -
1.2	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	Frank Dawson Director of Finance 801 Plum Street Room 250, City Hall Gincinnati 45202 ( 513 ) 352 - 3731 ( ) -
1.3	PROJECT MGR TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	Robert Cordes  Principal Highway Design Engineer 801 Plum Street Room 435, City Hall Cincinnati 45202  ( 513 ) 352 - 3409  ( 513 ) 352 - 1581
1.4	PROJECT CONTACT TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	Doug Perry  Senior Engineer  801 Plum Street  Room 435, City Hall  Cincinnati 45202  ( 513 ) 352 - 3407  ( 513 ) 352 - 1581
1.5	DISTRICT LIAISON TITLE STREET CITY/ZIP PHONE FAX	William Brayshaw Chief Deputy Engineer Hamilton County Engineer's Office 223 West Galbraith Road Cincinnati 45215  ( 513 ) 761 - 7400 ( 513 ) 761 - 9127

#### 2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

<u>IMPORTANT:</u> If project is multi-jurisdictional in nature, information must be <u>consolidated</u> for completion of this section.

2.1 PROJECT NAME: Dana Avenue Rehabilitation

# 2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through D): A. SPECIFIC LOCATION:

Dana Avenue from Observatory Avenue to Duck Creed Road (see attached map)

#### B. PROJECT COMPONENTS:

Rehabilitation of existing roadway including repair and replacement of curb, base and joint reparis, inlet and connection pipe reparis, casting adjustments and resurfacing with a minimum of 2 inches of asphaltic concrete.

#### C. PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS:

Roadway is 6 lanes, 60 to 70 feet in width and 2800 feet in length.

#### D. DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household.

ADT = 13,400

No change in service capacity

Will use standard rehabilitation practice to upgrade the roadway to excellent condition.

#### 2.3 REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

(Photographs/Additional Description; Capital Improvements Report; Priority List, 5-year Plan; 2-year Maintenance of Effort report, etc.) Also discuss the number of temporary and/or fulltime jobs which are likely to be created as a result of this project. Attach Pages. Refer to accompanying instructions for further detail.

# 3.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# 3.7 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar):

a) b)	Project Engineering Costs:  1. Preliminary Engineering  2. Final Design  3. Construction Supervision Acquisition Expenses  1. Land  2. Pinht of Way	\$ \$ \$
c) d) e) f)	<ol> <li>Right-of-Way</li> <li>Construction Costs</li> <li>Equipment Costs</li> <li>Other Direct Expenses</li> <li>Contingencies</li> </ol>	\$ 198,000 \$ \$ \$ \$
g)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	\$ 198,000

# 3.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

	*	Dollars	%
a)	Local In-Kind Contributions	\$	
b)	Local Public Revenues	\$ 59,400	30
c)	Local Private Revenues	\$	
ď)	Other Public Revenues		
-,	1. ODOT	\$	
	2. FMHA	\$	
	3. OEPA	\$	
	4. OWDA	\$	
	5. CDBG	\$	
	6. Other	\$	
e)	OPWC Funds		
•	1. Grant	\$ 138,600	
	2. Loan	\$	
	3. Loan Assistance	\$	
f)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES	\$ 198,000	100

If the required local match is to be 100% In-Kind Contributions, list source of funds to be used for retainage purposes:

### 3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

Indicate the status of <u>all</u> local share funding sources listed in section 3.2(a) through 3.4(c). In addition, if funds are coming from sources listed in section 3.2(d), the following information <u>must be attached to this project application</u>:

1) The date funds are available;

Verification of funds in the form of an agency approval letter or agency project number. Please include the name and number of the agency contact person.

### 3.4 PREPAID ITEMS

0.4 I KEI / K			
Definitions:			
Cost - Cost Item - Prepaid -	design, acquisition cost items (non-corpoid prior to receil OPWC.	costs, including prelimers presented in the costs of the costs directly of fully executed in the costs of the	t-of-way). v related to the projec
Verification -	<ul> <li>Source of funds (se Invoice(s) and cop accompanied by P</li> </ul>	e section 3.2). ples of warrant(s) use roject Manager's Certi	d to for prepaid co fication (see section 1
IMPORTANT: Verifico	ation of all prepald items	s shall be attached to	this project applicati
COST ITE	<u>:M</u> <u>R</u>	ESOURCE CATEGORY	COST
1)			\$
2)			\$
3)			\$
TOTAL	of Prepaid Items	\$	
3.5 REPAIR	R/REPLACEMENT or NE	W/EXPANSION	
This section need o	only be completed if the	Project is to be funde	ed by SI2 funds:
State Issue 2	PROJECT REPAIR/REPLAC Funds for Repair/Replace Exceed 90%)		
State Issue 2	PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION Funds for New/Expansion Exceed 50%)		%

# 4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

•	START DATE	COMPLETE DATE
4.1 ENGR. DESIGN 4.2 BID PROCESS 4.3 CONSTRUCTION	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 1 92 11 1 92 12 30 93

## APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

The Applicant Certifies That:

As the official representative of the Applicant, the undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally empowered to represent the applicant in both requesting and accepting financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code and 164-1 of the Ohlo Administrative Code; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are a part of this (3) that all official documents and application are true and correct; commitments of the applicant that are a part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the Applicant; (4) and, should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the Applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in this application has not begun, and will not begin, until a Project Agreement on this project has been issued by the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary is evidence that OPWC funds are not necessary to complete this project.

IMPORTANT: In the event of a project cost underrun, applicant understands that the identified local match share (sections 3.2(a) through 3.2(c) will be paid in full toward completion of this project. Unneeded OPWC funds will be returned to the funding source from which the project was financed.

Gerald Newfarmer, City Manager Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title) Signature/Date Signed

hpplicant shapplication:	all check each of the statements below, confirming that all required information is included in this .
X	A five-year Capital improvements Report as required in 164-1-31 of the Ohio Administrative Code and a two-year Maintenance of Local Effort Report as required in 164-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code.
<u> </u>	A registered professional engineer's estimate of useful life as required in 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's original seal and signature.
	A registered professional engineer's estimate of cost as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohlo Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's original seal and signature.
_X_	A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts.
YE YE	
YE	

### 6.0 DISTRICT COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

The	District	Integrating	Committee	for	District	Number	 Certifies
That	:	-					
A - 11	40 - 1			<b></b>	_ L Db. #	- 11/- d.s. i-	 

As the official representative of the District Public Works Integrating Committee, the undersigned hereby certifies: that this application for financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code has been duly selected by the appropriate body of the District Public Works Integrating Committee; that the project's selection was based entirely on an objective, District-oriented set of project evaluation criteria and selection methodology that are fully reflective of and in conformance with Ohio Revised Code Sections 164.05, 164.06, and 164.14, and Chapter 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; and that the amount of financial assistance hereby recommended has been prudently derived in consideration of all other financial resources available to the project. As evidence of the District's due consideration of required project evaluation criteria, the results of this project's ratings under such criteria are attached to this application.

William W. Brayshaw, Chairman,	District 2 Integrating Committee
Certifying Representative (Type	Name and Title)
111 Min 111 Brancha	6-12-61
William W. Brancha Signature/Date Signed	22/3/2

# City of Cincinnati



Department of Public Works Division of Engineering

Room 440, City Hall 801 Plum Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

George Rowe

Thomas E. Young City Engineer

February 28,1992

Subject: Dana Avenue Rehabilitation

Observatory to Duck Creek

Certification of Useful Life of Issue 2 OPWC Projects

As required by Chapter 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code, I hereby certify that the design useful life of the subject street rehabilitation project is at least twenty (20) years.



T. E. Young, P.E., City Engineer City of Cincinnati

# 1993 STREET REHABILITATION, STATE ISSUE #2 Dana Avenue

REF.	ITEM NO.	ESTIMATED QUANTITIES	DESCRIPTION	EST. UNIT PRICE	ESTIMATED COST
1	103.05	Lump Sum	Contract Bond		\$3,305.00
2	Special	1,450 s.y.	Part Depth Pavt. Rep(Conc. Pavt.)	\$27.00	\$39,150.00
3	Special	50 с.у.		\$80.00	\$4,000.00
4	Special	100 l.f.	Connection Pipe Cleaned	\$10.00	\$1,000.00
5	202	910 s.y.	Rigid Pavt. Removed-Full Depth	\$25.00	\$22,750.00
7	301	230 с.у.	Bituminous Aggregrate Base( 9")	\$85.00	\$19,550.00
8	304	50 c.y.	Aggregate Base	\$25.00	\$1,250.00
9	403	550 c.y.	Asphalt Concrete Leveling Course	\$62.00	\$34,100.00
10	404	525 c.y.	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course	\$62.00	\$32,550.00
11	604	20 ea.	Manhole Adjust to Grade W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$3,500.00
12	604	11 ea.	Valve Chambers Adjust W/O Ring	\$175.00	\$1,925.00
13	604	2 ea.	SGI Adjusted To Grade	\$230.00	\$460.00
15	604	5 ea.	DGI Adjusted To Grade	\$230.00	\$1,150.00
16	604	12 ea.	DGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	\$260.00	\$3,120.00
17	608	400 s.f.	Handicap Ramp	\$4.00	\$1,600.00
18	608	200 s.f.	Concrete Walk	\$4.00	\$800.00
19	609	1,250 l.f.	Concrete Curb Repair, Type P-4	\$16.00	\$20,000.00
21	609	80 l.f.	Concrete Curb , Type L-1	\$8.00	\$640.00
	612	100 s.f.	Conc. Median & Traffic Island Repair	\$7.00	\$700.00
22	627	300 s.f.	Concrete Driveway	\$5.00	\$1,500.00
23	660	1,200 1.f.	Sod Restoration	\$2.00	\$2,400.00
24	1125	5 ea.	Reset Ex. Valve Box W/O Adjusters	\$110.00	\$550.00
25	619	Lump Sum	Field Office	•	\$2,000.00

Total Cost \$198,000.00



T. E. Young, P. E. City Engineer City of Cincinnati

# City of Cincinnati



Department of Public Works Division of Engineering

Room 440, City Hall 801 Plum Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

George Rowe Director

Thomas E. Young City Engineer

#### 3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

LOCAL SHARE OF THE PROJECT COSTS WILL COME FROM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS WHICH WILL BE APPROVED AS PART OF THE CITY'S 1992 OR 1993 BUDGETS. CAPITAL FUNDS COME FROM CITY INCOME TAX REVENUE AND THE SALE OF BONDS.



### ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

or 1992, jurisdictions shall complete the State application form for some 2, Small Government, or Local Transportation Improvement Program LTIP) funding. In addition, the District 2 Integrating Committee equests the following information to determine which projects are unded. Information provided on both forms should be accurate, based on eliable engineering principles. Do NOT request a specific type of unding desired, as this is decided by the District Integrating Committee.

Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what percentage can be classified as being in poor condition, adequacy and/or serviceability? Accurate support information, such as pavement management inventories or bridge condition summaries, should be provided to substantiate the stated percentage.

Typical examples are:

2.

Road percentage= <u>Miles of road that are in poor condition</u>
Total miles of road within jurisdiction

Storm percentage= Miles of storm sewers that are in poor condition Total miles of storm sewers within jurisdiction

Bridge percentage= <u>Number of bridges that are in poor condition</u>

Number of bridges within jurisdiction

The City's Pavement Management Program has determined that 24% of street system is in poor condition.

What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

The roadway has a Pavement Condition Number of 69 (fair to Poor). Dynaflect tests

indicate a Base Condition Index of 68 (fair to poor). Pavement shows sign of wear-pavement failures, heaved joints, deteriorated curb and general deterioration of roadway surface.

If State Issue 2 funds are awarded, how soon (in weeks or months) after completion of the agreement with OPWC would the opening of bids occur? The Integrating Committee will be reviewing schedules submitted for previous projects to help judge the accuracy of a particular jurisdiction's anticipated schedule.

3 months

please indicate the current status of the project development by circling the appropriate answers below. PROVIDE ACCURATE ESTIMATE.

- a) Has the Consultant been selected?..... Yes No N/A
- b) Preliminary development or engineering completed? Yes No N/A
- c) Detailed construction plans completed?..... Yes No N/A
- d) All right-of-way and easements acquired?..... Yes No N/A
- e) Utility coordination completed?..... Yes No N/A

Give estimate of time, in weeks or months, to complete any item above not yet completed.

Within 3 months of approval by OPWC, all above work will be completed so that project can be awarded in 1992.

How will the proposed infrastructure activity impact the general health, welfare, and safety of the service area? (Typical examples include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, and commerce.)

Will assist in maintaining current tax base and will provide satisfactory

road network for motoring public.

any project involving GRANTS, the local jurisdiction must provide 5. For the anticipated construction MINIMUM OF 10% of Additionally, the local jurisdiction must pay 100% of the costs of preliminary engineering, inspection, and right-of-way. If a project to be funded under Issue 2 or Small Government, the costs of any betterment/expansion are 100% local. Local matching funds must either be currently on deposit with the jurisdiction, or certified as having approved or encumbered by an outside agency (MRF, CDBG, etc.). Proposed funding must be shown on the Project Application under "Project Financial Resources". For a project involving Section 3.2. LOANS or CREDIT ENHANCEMENTS, 100% of construction costs are eligible for funding, with no local match required.

What matching funds are to be used for this project? (i.e. Federal, State, MRF, Local, etc.)

Local Capital Improvement Bond Funds.

To what extent are matching funds to be utilized, expressed as a percentage of anticipated CONSTRUCTION costs?

Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete ban or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of new building permits.) THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE CONSIDERED VALID.

COMPLETE BAN	PARTIAL BAN	ИО	BAN	<u> </u>	
Will the ban be removed aft	er the project is complet	ed?	YES	МО	
Document with specific currently exists and what a	information explaining agency that imposed the ba	what an.	type	of	ban

What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Use specific criteria such as households, traffic counts, ridership figures for public transit, daily users, etc., and equate to an equal measurement of users:

ADT = 13,400	ADT = 13,400	USERS = 16,680	
--------------	--------------	----------------	--

For roads and bridges, multiply current <u>documented</u> Average Daily Traffic by 1.2 occupants per car (I.T.E. estimated conversion factor) to determine users per day. Ridership figures for public transit <u>must be documented</u>. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by four (4) to determine the approximate number of users per day.

8. The Ohio Public Works Commission requires that all jurisdictions applying for project funding develop a five year overall Capital Improvement Plan that shall be updated annually. The Plan is to include an inventory and condition survey of existing capital improvements, and a list detailing a schedule for capital improvements and/or maintenance. Both Five-Year Overall and Five-Year Issue 2 Capital Improvement Plans are required.

Copies of these Plans are to be submitted to the District Integrating Committee at the same time the Project Application is submitted.

9. Is the infrastructure to be improved part of a facility that has regional significance? (Consider the number of jurisdictions served, size of service area, trip lengths, functional classification, and length of route.) Provide supporting information.

This street is part of Federal Aid Urban sustem is classified as a arterial street, is a major truck and bus route connecting east side suburbs with I-71.

#### DHIO INFRASTRUCTURE BOND PROGRAM (ISSUE 2) - ROUND 5

#### LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (LTIP) - ROUND 4

#### FY 1993 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA - 7/1/92 TO 6/30/93

ADOPTED BY DISTRICT 2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE, 2/21/92

JURISDICTION/AGENCY: City of Cincinnati		
		IFICATION:
Dana Avenue Rehabilitation		
196511	<u> </u>	
PROPOSED FUNDING:		
ELIGIBLE	CATE	GORY:
POINTS		TOTAL POINTS FOR THIS PROJECT
10	1)	Type of project
		10 Points - Bridge, road, stormwater 5 Points - All other projects
1)	2)	If Issue 2/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded? (Even though the jurisdictions will be asked this question, the Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience.)
		10 Points - Will definitely be awarded by end of 1992 5 Points - Some doubt as to whether it can be awarded by end of 1992 O Points - No way it can be awarded in 1992
9	3)	What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.
		15 Points - Poor condition 12 Points - 9 Points - Fair to Poor condition 6 Points - 3 Points - Fair condition
NOTE: If infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it		

will NOT be considered for Issue 2/LTIP funding, unless it is a

betterment project that will improve serviceability.

- 4) If the project is built, what will be its effect on the facility's serviceability?
  - 10 Points Significantly effect on serviceability (e.g., widen to add lanes along entire project)
    - 8 Points Moderate to significant effect on serviceability
    - 6 Points Moderately effect on serviceability (e.g., widen existing lanes)
    - 4 Points Little to no effect on serviceability
- 5) Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what portion can be classified as being in poor or worse condition, and/or inadequate in service?
  - 3 Points 50% and over
  - 2 Points 30% to 49.9%
  - 1 Point 10% to 29.9%
  - O Points Less than 10%
- 6) How important is the project to the HEALTH, SAFETY, and WELFARE of the public and the citizens of the District and/or the service area?
  - 10 Points Highly significant importance, with substantial impact on all 3 factors
    - 8 Points Considerably significant importance, with substantial impact on 2 factors OR noticeable impact on all 3 factors
    - 6 Points Moderate importance, with substantial impact on 1 factor or noticeable impact on 2 factors
    - 4 Points Minimal importance, with noticeable impact on 1 factor
    - 2 Points No measurable impact
- 7) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?
  - 10 Points Poor
    - 8 Points -
    - 6 Points Fair
  - 4 Points -
  - 2 Points Excellent

- B) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Matching funds may be local, federal, ODOT, MRF, etc. or a combination of funds. Loan and credit enhancement projects automatically receive 5 points. MINIMUM 10% MATCHING FUNDS REQUIRED FOR GRANT-FUNDED PROJECTS
  - 5 Points More than 50%
  - 4 Points 40% to 49.9%
  - 3 Points 30% to 39.9%
  - 2 Points 20% to 29.9%
  - 1 Point 10% to 19.9%
- 9) Has any formal action or orders by a federal, state, or local governmental agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure? Examples include weight limits on structures, EPA orders to replace or repair sewerage, and moratoriums on building permits in a particular area due to local flooding downstream. POINTS CAN BE AWARDED ONLY IF CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT BEING RATED WILL CAUSE THE BAN TO BE REMOVED.
  - 10 Points Complete ban
  - 5 Points Partial ban
  - O Points No ban
- 10) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria include traffic counts & households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.
  - 10 Points 10,000 and Over
  - 8 Points 7,500 to 9,999
  - 6 Points 5,000 to 7,499.
  - 4 Points 2,500 to 4,999
  - 2 Points 2,499 and Under
- 11) Does the infrastructure have REGIONAL impact? Consider originations & destinations of traffic, functional classification, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, etc. (Functional classifications to be revised in the future to conform to new Surface Transportation Act.)
  - 5 Points Major impact (e.g., major multi-jurisdictional route, primary feed route to an Interstate, Federal-Aid Primary routes)
  - 4 Points -
  - 3 Points Moderate impact (e.g., principal thoroughfares, Federal-Aid Urban routes)
  - 2 Points -